ence of the elas d the minor page tion to the xperimental va s which have have temperature. this respect the t is important correction for n for the char n 10%. The on may be obtained e pressure depend s experiments !... es, 30°C and 75 notation, which abulation4 for actically no charest metals. Furth nere is a significare as negative. static strain deris show only a small

ic strain derivation ir interpretation. of the pressure m thermodynam r Cu; the result and Br differ o avoid the unco using the modul pulse-echo meth that the contrib energy to the elast rain derivatives may almost be m irther discussion cory, as it has be n the energy of tt. This term is the ate of the valer E_0 . Physically $sion^{24} ar^{-3} - br^{-1}$ ctively the kine th the state. In nstants, Eo appe Coulomb stiffne

oution to the bal derivative has her justification of the tives E_0'' and Fative of E_0 is large at all because voked implicitly

s of Metals and Alice p. 80.

sistently omitting first derivatives of all energy condions. It is commonly presumed25 that the second vative E0" is small because the actual equilibrium a larger than the value of r for the minimum of E_0 . ilibrium r then occurs in the neighborhood of the ection point of E0, as is shown by the available aulations for copper26 and silver27 and by the analytiapproximation given above. The contribution of to the bulk modulus and its hydrostatic strain advative is probably small therefore. The third grivative E_0 " contributes to the hydrostatic strain rivative only; it is felt that it is also likely to be —ill in view of the fact that $E_0^{\prime\prime\prime}$ is zero at a value of est beyond the inflection point of E_0 , according to the lytical approximation, and hence also near the allibrium value of r. Quantitative estimates of the sible values of $\Omega B_0 = r^2 E_0''/9$ and $\Omega dB_0/d \ln r$ $rE_0^{\prime\prime\prime} - 3r^2E_0^{\prime\prime})/9$ can be made by using the analytiapproximation, equating br-1 to the Coulomb energy the structure22 and invoking the physical condition $r(equilibrium) > r(E_0'=0)$; these support the stateents that have been made, the possible fractional or in the hydrostatic strain derivative being neglible while those in the bulk modulus may be significant are not serious to the conclusions of this paper.

The long-range bulk modulus which has been used ge is then the Fermi term only, and furthermore for is term we have used an effective mass, m^*/m , of ity for all three metals. This value of the effective as agrees with the theoretical values of Kambe²⁸ sich characterize the electrons at the bottom of the dence band for copper, silver, and gold. It also rees with electronic specific heat effective masses29 for wer and gold, but not for copper in which this m^*/m 1.47. We feel, however, that a "bulk modulus effective "which characterizes the change with volume of caverage Fermi energy, is more likely to be equal to theoretical value than to an effective mass deabing the density of states at the Fermi level only.29 chave therefore used unity for copper also.

As mentioned above, the long-range contributions to shear stiffnesses which have been used are the alomb stiffnesses of Fuchs, and these have been en at their full value. Since these terms have been at reduced values in other papers2,3 in which tic constants have been decomposed into contribuas, we state our reasons. In the first place, the the values have long been known to account for the

shear stiffnesses of bcc Na and K,21 and recently this has been found30 to be true in Li also. In the alkali metals the long-range term is the major if not the only one and the agreement argues for the validity of the Fuchs calculation. There is no direct evidence for such a longrange stiffness in copper, silver, and gold but extensive studies of the elastic constants of copper³¹ and silver alloys17 in our laboratories provide good indirect evidence. The alloy results require that sizable long-range and short-range terms must both be present, and that C/C' (long range) must be about the Fuchs ratio. These two reasons lead us to regard the Fuchs values as very reasonable estimates of the long-range shear stiffness.

In some previous decompositions of elastic stiffnesses into contributions a van der Waals term has been introduced explicitly.26 We have omitted such a term as we feel it adds nothing to the analysis which has been carried through and is a numerically uncertain contribution at best. If a contribution to the total energy of the physical nature of the van der Waals interaction is present, it is absorbed, in our treatment, in the short-range repulsive interaction W=A exp $(-pr/r_0)$ which we have deduced empirically. Formally the van der Waals interaction is radial and of short range and cannot be separated empirically from the repulsive term.

The uncertainties in the analysis presented in the previous section thus reside almost entirely in the theoretical long-range terms. We emphasize again that these terms are small and even large individual errors would leave the conclusions unchanged. The cumulative effect of these uncertainties added to the experimental error, particularly in B and dB/dP, could be considerable, however, so that the individual numerical values of the closure failures which have been quoted and assigned to noncentral short-range interaction should be treated with caution. Nevertheless the relative values of the closure failures appear to be reasonable for the two shear constants and for the three metals.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by the Office of Naval Research and the National Carbon Company. One of the authors, W. B. Daniels, is also indebted to the National Carbon Company for a fellowship. The authors wish to thank Professor J. R. Reitz for many valuable discussions, and particularly Mr. A. A. Hruschka and his excellent shop for cooperation which made possible the construction of the high-pressure apparatus.

³⁰ H. C. Nash and C. S. Smith (to be published). ³¹ J. R. Neighbours and C. S. Smith, Acta Metallurgica 2, 591 (1954).

H. Jones, Physica 15, 13 (1949).

K. Fuchs, Proc. Roy. Soc. (London) A151, 585 (1935).

Reference 24, p. 78.

K. Kambe, Phys. Rev. 99, 419 (1955).
C. Kittel, Introduction to Solid State Physics (John Wiley Sons, Inc., New York, 1953), second edition, pp. 259, 319.